	t A – NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION be completed by the Task Lead for New Site)		
1.	Site Title: TAN/TSF Fire Station Wastewater System Discharge Drainage Ditch	Site Code: TAN-030	
	(Use known common names, location descriptors and or processes near or associated with the suspected inactive waste site.)	NSI Evaluation Initiation Date:	
2.	Task Lead For New Site: Wendell Jolley	Phone: 526-5990	
3.	NSI Coordinator: Wendell Jolley	Phone: 526-5990	
4.	Initiator or Initial Observer: Walker F. Howell	Phone: 526-6530	
5.	Location of the Suspected New Site: (A location map and/or diagram identifying the sit positioning system descriptors may be included.)  The suspect site is a drainage ditch that runs west along Nile Avenue on the Idaho Nation Laboratory (INEEL) to the south/southwest of the Test Area North (TAN) Fire Station (TA drainage ditch is presumed to be impacted for approximately 150 feet. See location map	nal Engineering and Environmental N-687). This 2 foot wide by 2 foot deep	
	•		
6.	Describe the observed conditions that indicate a suspect new site:  Wastewater, routinely generated from the cleaning of TAN-687 Fire Station equipment ar garage floor trench and then gravity drains to an oil/water separator. The wastewater that through an outlet pipe and discharges at a point on the west side of a culvert into the dra The wastewater consists primarily of water, dirt, and detergents.	at has been separated from oil then flows	

### **NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)**

Part B – SUSPECTED NEW SITE INVESTIGATION AND RECOMMENDATION (To be completed by the Task Lead for New Site, except Block 3 which is to be completed by the Responsible Manager)

#### **NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)**

 Document all <u>existing</u> information including historical, process, screening data, analytical data, radiological surveys etc. (Attach supporting documentation)

The TAN Fire Station (TAN-687), established in 1990, routinely generates wastewater from the cleaning of vehicles and equipment. An estimated 200-500 gallons of wastewater, consisting primarily of water, dirt and detergents, is processed through the TAN Fire Station Wastewater System (TAN-030) weekly. This system collects the wastewater in a trench in the floor of the garage which then drains to an oil/water separator tank in the southwest corner of the building. This system separates the oil from the water and then discharges the wastewater into a drainage ditch located in front (south) of the fire station. Discharge of the wastewater currently occurs at least once a week. This wastewater discharge process is expected to continue until closure of TAN-030 in 2020.

In 1995, regular and duplicate wastewater samples were collected from TAN-030 and analyzed (see EDF-4804 for details). No volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), herbicides, pesticides or metals were detected above regulatory levels. At the same time, a liquid and a sludge sample were collected from the oil/water separator tank. Again, no VOCs, SVOCs, herbicides, pesticides or metals above regulatory levels were found. Additionally, the wash water was evaluated for gross alpha/beta and gamma spectrometry. See Table 1 below for the results. Since all samples were below the gross beta background of 31.7 pCi/g for soil at the INEEL, neither organics nor radionuclides are considered to be a concern at this site.

Table 1: Radionuclide Analysis Results (pCi/L) for TAN Fire Station Wastewater System

Gross alpha Gross beta Gamma spec.	Sample Number 6795011 <15 pCi/g below detection limit (BDL) <8.6 pCi/g (BDL) None detected	Sample Number 6795011 <14 pCi/g (BDL) 10.6 +/- 3.0 pCi/g None detected	
Rice 1995			

Samples from the TAN-030 drainage ditch were collected and analyzed in spring 2003 (PLN-1261) to determine if contaminants may be accumulating in the soil due to wastewater discharge. Soil samples were collected at three locations: (1) the discharge point, (2) 10 ft from the discharge point and (3) 20 ft from the discharge point. At each location, two samples were collected at various depths and analyzed for total metals. The soil sample metals analysis results are shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Metals Analysis Results (mg/kg) for TAN Fire Station Wastewater System Ditch Soils

Contaminant of Potential Concern	Number of Detections	Maximum Nondetect	Minimum Detection	Maximum Detection
Antimony	0	0.92		
Arsenic	18	<del></del>	2.5	9.5
Barium	18		43.2	234
Beryllium	0	1.1	·	
Cadmium	0	· 1	. <del>-</del>	
Chromium	18	, <del></del>	8.7	32.9
Cobalt	10	5.2	8	9.7
Copper	8	27.3	28.1	31
Lead	18		6.8	21.4
Mercury	3	0.02	0.02	0.03
Nickel	18		8.8	40.8
Selenium	9	0.36	0.36	1.1
Silver	0	0.91		<del></del>
Thallium	0	0.91		
Tin	18		2.5	3.7
Vanadium	18		8.5	87.3
Zinc	18		61.5	165

Human health and ecological risk evaluations for the metals detected within the drainage ditch were performed during May 2004 (EDF-4804). Concentrations of contaminants of potential concern higher than the INEEL background levels were compared to EPA preliminary remediation goals for the human health risk assessment. The contaminants of potential concern were also evaluated for risks to ecological receptors using the approach described in Appendix F of the Comprehensive Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study for Waste Area Groups 6 and 10 Operable Unit 10-04 (DOE-ID 2001). Unacceptable risk to ecological receptors equals concentrations greater than a hazard quotient of >10. None were found. In summary, both assessments provided results indicating current soil concentrations as well as potential soil concentrations in 17 years will not present a risk to human health or ecological receptors. Contaminant levels should remain below risk levels until the site is closed in 2020. To ensure that future soil concentrations have been accurately evaluated, soil samples should be analyzed upon site closure.

1a.	Is th	nmend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT warrant further investigation, does not meet the a for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Plan.  nmend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the criteria for acceptance, may warrant further igation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan.  nmended WAG and Operable Unit to which site should be assigned:  10				
2.	Reco	ommendation				
		Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT warrant further investigation, does not meet the criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Plan.				
100,000		Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the criteria for acceptance, may warrant further investigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan.				
		Recommended WAG and Operable Unit to which site should be assigned:				
		WAG: 10 Operable Unit: OU 10-08				
		Recommended further action for this site:				
		No Action ☐ No Further Action ☐ Track 1 ☐ Track 2 ☐ RI/FS				
4	Responsible Manager Certification: I have examined the information submitted in this document and believe the information to be true, accurate, and complete.					
Nar	ne:	Michael Hodel Signature: Milw P. Hole Date: 5-27-04				

T C – INEEL FFA/CO WAG MANAC Title:		Site Code:
DOE-ID WAG Manager Concurrence:	☐ Concur with recommendation.	☐ Do not concur with the recommendation.
	Corlocal Willi recommendation.	
Signature: Explanation:		Date:
Ехріанацоп.		
	NA	
EPA WAG Manager Concurrence:	☐ Concur with recommendation.	☐ Do not concur with the recommendation.
	<del>_</del>	
Signature:		Date:
Explanation:		
	NA	
	1-71 ,	
State of Idaho WAG Manager Concurrence:	☐ Concur with recommendation.	☐ Do not concur with the recommendation.
Signature: Explanation:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date:
Explanation.	A	
	NA	
	1 .	

435,36 04/06/2004 Flev, DS

行所'S) CONCURRENCE		
TAN-030		
on. Do not conour with the recommendation.		
Dafe:		
n. Do not concur with the recommendation.  Date: 12-7-84		
site meets. No actum		
Dates August 132		
actuded in the FFA/CO. The concentrations of the projected accumulation of the projected accumulation of the projected accumulation suggests the concentrations amounted, soil samples shall be collected and with or the environment.  Included in the FFA/CO. The concentrations of metals in soils har for this NSI because the risk levels are		

Title: TAN/TSF Fire Discharge Dra	Station Wastewater inage Ditch	System	Site Code: TAN-030	
DOE-ID FFA/CO RPM Concu Signature: <u>Vathleen</u>		mmendation.	Do not concur with the recommendation  Date: 1/31/05	on.
Explanation: RISK asses	soment will be	reviewed,	n 2020 , f the	
	not removed.		ž	
	final review w			
	*			
EPA FFA/CO RPM Concurrer	nce: Concur with recor	nmendation.	Do not concur with the recommendation	on.
Signature:			Date:	NEEDING AND DESCRIPTION OF PERSONS AND PER
Explanation:				
			•	
		,		
State of Idaho	Concur with recon		Do not concur with the recommendati	
FFA/CO RPM Concurrence:	Contain van Tecon		Some A	on. . 2.
Signature:		Annual An	Date. A Color	
metals found in the ditch do no primarily arsenic, could pose a should be acceptable for 17 ye	ot currently pose an unacceptable in unacceptable risk in the future.	risk although the proje The projected accum and as recommended, s	dation suggests the concentrations oil samples shall be collected and	
The State does take exception found on the INEEL. Howeve	to several of the arguments posed			

